

## Benchmarking Studies in Regulation

| Jurisdiction                               | Parties Commissioning Studies   | Notes  |
|--|---------------------------------|--|
| <b><i>United States</i></b>                |                                 |  |
| Arizona                                    | All parties                     | Study the result of settlement between parties                                     |
|  |                                 | One proceeding had dueling studies (Consumer Advocate study not available).        |
|  | Companies and Consumer Advocate | More commonly, studies commissioned only by utility.                               |
| California                                 | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| Colorado                                   | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| Florida                                    | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| Georgia                                    | Company and Consumer Advocate   | Not Applicable   |
| Illinois                                   | Advocate                        | Studies filed in same proceeding<br>Study the result of settlement between parties |
| Indiana                                    | All parties                     | Not Applicable   |
| Kentucky                                   | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| Maine                                      | Commission                      | Not Applicable   |
| Massachusetts                              | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| Michigan                                   | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| Missouri                                   | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| New Jersey                                 | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| New York                                   | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| Oklahoma                                   | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| Oregon                                     | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| Vermont                                    | Commission                      | Not Applicable   |
| Utah                                       | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| Wisconsin                                  | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| <b><i>Canada</i></b>                       |                                 |  |
| Alberta                                    | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| Ontario                                    | Commission and Company          | Duelling studies have occurred   |
| New Brunswick                              | Consumer Advocate               | Not Applicable   |
| <b><i>Other North American Studies</i></b> |                                 |  |
| Dominican Republic                         | Unknown                         | Study Not Available  |
| Guatemala                                  | Unknown                         | Study Not Available  |
| Jamaica                                    | Company                         | Not Applicable   |
| <b><i>South American Studies</i></b>       |                                 |  |
| Bolivia                                    | Commission                      | Not Applicable   |
| Brazil                                     | Unknown                         | Study Not Available  |
| Curacao                                    | Company                         | Study Not Available  |
| Peru                                       | Unknown                         | Study Not Available  |

### **European Studies**

|               |            |                     |
|---------------|------------|---------------------|
| Austria       | Unknown    | 1,2                 |
| Belgium       | Unknown    | 1                   |
| Denmark       | Unknown    | 1                   |
| Estonia       | Unknown    | 1                   |
| Finland       | Unknown    | 1                   |
| Germany       | Unknown    | 1,2                 |
| Great Britain | Commission | Not Applicable      |
| Hungary       | Unknown    | 1                   |
| Ireland       | Unknown    | 1                   |
| Latvia        | Unknown    | Study Not Available |
| Netherlands   | Commission | 1                   |
| Norway        | Unknown    | 2                   |
| Portugal      | Unknown    | Study Not Available |

### **Australian/New Zealand Studies**

|                            |                          |                                    |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Australia                  | Commission and Companies | Duelling studies have not occurred |
| Queensland, Australia      | Commission               | Not Applicable                     |
| New South Wales, Australia | Commission and Companies | Duelling studies have occurred     |
| Victoria, Australia        | Company                  | Not Applicable                     |
| New Zealand                | Commission and Companies | Duelling studies have occurred     |

Notes:

<sup>1</sup>Haney, A. Brophy and M. Pollitt (2009). *Efficiency Analysis of Energy Networks: An International Survey of Regulators*. Cambridge Working Paper in Economics 0926. EPRG Working Paper 0915. Table 2.

<sup>2</sup>Frontier Economics (2012). Trends in electricity distribution network regulation in North West Europe. Report prepared for Energy Norway.